



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,274	12/15/2003	Liem Quang Nguyen	LN-1-js	3826
7590 12/02/2008				
Michael I. Kroll 171 Stillwell Lane Syosset, NY 11791			EXAMINER LIN, JASON K	
			ART UNIT 2425	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/02/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/736,274		<b>Applicant(s)</b> NGUYEN, LIEM QUANG	
	<b>Examiner</b> BRIAN T. PENDLETON		<b>Art Unit</b> 2425	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BRIAN T. PENDLETON.

(3) Jason Lin.

(2) Mr. Leonard Belkin.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 November 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Applied references.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative explained the difference between the applied references and the claimed subject matter, specifically having the ability to navigate through the content stored on a server on the remote controller. It was the Examiner's contention that the references do not appear to show such a feature, but another search and reconsideration would be done.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brian T. Pendleton/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425